arXiv:1111.2394v1 [math.AC] 10 Nov 2011

On a classification of ideals of local rings for irreducible curve singularities

Masahiro WATARI

Abstract

We consider a classification problem of ideals by codimension in case rings are the local rings of irreducible curve singularities. In this paper, we introduce a systematic method to solve this problem.

1 Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In the present paper, we consider a local integral noetherian k-algebra $\mathcal{O}$ of dimension one. We also assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is complete. Our aim is to present an effective method for a classification of ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ with fixed codimension. Such classification is important for the study of punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities. See [2] about punctual Hilbert schemes for curve singularities. In [3] and [4], the results in this paper were used implicitly. However, they were not described at all. So we explain the methods precisely in this paper.

In Section 2, we fix the notations and prove some lemmas needed later. We define the order set of an ideal. We also show that the set of all ideals with fixed codimension admits finitely many order sets. In Section 3, we consider generators of an ideal whose order set is a given Γ-module. We first consider normal forms of these. In general, we need to determine the coefficients in the normal forms to attain the given order set. The algorithm to determine the coefficients is also introduced. In Section 4, we first introduce two algorithms. One is to compute the minimal generating set for a given Γ-module and the other is to compute all order sets for ideals with codimension i from those for ideals with codimension $i-1$. These algorithms allow us to construct a systematic way to determine the all order sets for ideals with a given codimension. Finally, we prove that Theorem 8 which gives a computational method to determine all ideals with a given codimention. In Section 5, we consider two examples, the cases for the singularities of A_6 and E_6 types.

2 Preliminaries

We first fix notations. For a local ring $\mathcal{O}$ as in the previous section, its maximal ideal is expressed by $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}$. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ the normalization of $\mathcal{O}$. In our

case, we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = k[[t]]$. We call the set $\Gamma := \{\mathrm{ord}(f) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{O}\}$ the *semigroup* of $\mathcal{O}$. The set $G := \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$ is called the *gap sequence* of Γ. The *conductor of* Γ is to be $c := \max\{G\} + 1$. A subset S of Γ is called a Γ-*module*, if the following two conditions hold: (i) $s_1 + s_2 \in S$ for $\forall s_1, s_2 \in S$, (ii) $s + \gamma \in S$ for $\forall s \in S$, $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$. If a Γ-module S is generated by a set $A = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$ (i.e. $S = \{\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i | \, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$), then we express it by $S = \langle A \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle$. We refer A as a *generating set* of S. A generating set A of S is called *minimal*, if $A \setminus \{\alpha\}$ for $\forall \alpha \in A$ does not generate S. Let I be a nonzero ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. We call $\tau := \tau(I) := \dim_k \mathcal{O}/I$ the *codimension* of I and denote by $\mathcal{I}_r$ the set of all ideals with $\tau(I) = r$. The set $\Gamma(I) := \{\mathrm{ord}(f) \,|\, f \in I\}$ is called the *order set* of I. Note that $\Gamma(I)$ is a Γ-module. Put $G(I) := \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(I)$. We define the *conductor of* $\Gamma(I)$ by $c(I) := \max\{G(I)\} + 1$. The following lemma shows that the codimension of I depends on $G(I)$. Namely, it is determined by $\Gamma(I)$.

Lemma 1. *An ideal I of $\mathcal{O}$ has $\tau(I) = r$ if and only if we have $\sharp G(I) = r$.*

Proof. An ideal I belongs to $\mathcal{I}_r$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{O}/I = \left\{ a_0 + a_1 t^{d_1} + \cdots + a_{r-1} t^{d_{r-1}} | \, a_i \in k, d_1 < \cdots < d_{r-1} \right\} \tag{1}$$

holds. The last condition implies $\sharp G(I) = r$. □

Let S be a Γ-module. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(S)$ the set of all ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ with $\Gamma(I) = S$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *There exist a finite number of distinct Γ-modules $S_1, \cdots, S_l$ such that the set $\mathcal{I}_r$ is decomposed as*

$$\mathcal{I}_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \mathcal{I}(S_i) \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{I}(S_i) \cap \mathcal{I}(S_j)$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof. Fix a codimension r. Let I be an element of $\mathcal{I}_r$. By Lemma 1, we have $\sharp G(I) = r$. Write $G(I) = \{0, d_1, \ldots, d_{r-1}\}$. Then it must satisfy the condition (1). It is clear that the number of such $d_1, \ldots, d_{r-1}$ is finite. Hence we have only finite number of Γ-module of the form $\Gamma \setminus \{0, d_1, \ldots, d_{r-1}\}$. □

For the decomposition (2) of $\mathcal{I}_r$, set $\mathfrak{S}_r := \{S_1, \ldots, S_l\}$. Letting A_i be the minimal generating set of S_i, define $\mathfrak{A}_r := \{A_1 \ldots, A_l\}$. Let I be a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ such that $I \neq (1)$. Set $G_1(I) := G(I) \setminus \{0\}$. We define $b_1 := \min\{G_1(I)\}$. For b_1, put $B_1(I) := G_1(I) \cap (b_1 + \Gamma)$. If $G_1(I) \neq B_1(I)$, then put $b_2 := \min\{G_1(I) \setminus B_1(I)\}$ and $B_2(I) := \{G_1(I) \setminus (b_1 + \Gamma)\} \cap (b_2 + \Gamma)$. We continue this process successively. Namely, if $G_1(I) \neq \cup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i(I)$, then we set $b_j := \min\{G_1(I) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i(I)\}$ and $B_j(I) := \{G_1(I) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i(I)\} \cap (b_j + \Gamma)$. Since $\sharp G(I) < \infty$, there exist a positive integer n such that $G_1(I) = \cup_{i=1}^{n} B_i(I)$. For each i, we define $d_i := \max\{B_i(I)\}$.

3 Determination of ideals

Form this section, we freely use the notations introduced in the previous section. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the local ring of an irreducible curve singularity with a semigroup Γ. For an element f of $\mathcal{O}$, we denote by $\mathrm{LC}(f)$ the leading coefficient of f. For a given Γ-module S, we determine generators of the ideals in $I(S)$. Let I be an element of $I(S)$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma(I) = S$, we consider the following element of I:

$$f_\gamma := t^\gamma + \sum_{j \in G(I), j > \gamma} a_{\gamma,j} t^j.$$

Lemma 3. *Let S be a Γ-module. For an ideal I in $\mathcal{I}(S)$, we can take*

$$F := \{ f_\gamma \in I \,|\, \gamma \in S,\ \min\{S\} \leq \gamma \leq \min\{S\} + c - 1 \} \tag{3}$$

as the set of generators of I.

Proof. It is clear that I is generated by all f_γ with $\gamma \in \Gamma(I)$. Note that we can take $f_\gamma = t^\gamma$ for $\gamma \geq c(I)$. Set $\alpha_1 := \min\{\Gamma(I)\}$. It is clear that $c(I) \leq \alpha_1 + c$ holds. Since there exists t^j in $\mathcal{O}$ for $\forall j \geq c$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma \geq \alpha_1 + c$, we can reduce f_γ by f_{α_1} as

$$f_\gamma - \sum_{j \geq c} b_j t^j f_{\alpha_1} = 0$$

where b_j's are suitable coefficients. Hence we can remove f_γ with $\gamma \geq \alpha + c$ from the set of generators of I. □

Let I be an element of $\mathcal{I}(S)$. In general, if (3) is the set of generators of I, then the coefficients of its elements may satisfy some conditions to attain $\Gamma(I) = S$. We denote by H the set of all such conditions. We must find H to determine the ideals in $\mathcal{I}(S)$ from the data S (see also Remark 10 in Section 5). To determine H for a given S, we introduce the reduction for two elements in $\mathcal{O}$. This is an analogue of S-polynomial for given two polynomials (cf. [1]). For $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}$, consider $V := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma \,|\, \gamma_1 \cdot \mathrm{ord}(h_1) = \gamma_2 \cdot \mathrm{ord}(h_2)\}$. Let (α, β) be the element of V that makes the condition $\gamma_1 \cdot \mathrm{ord}(h_1) = \gamma_2 \cdot \mathrm{ord}(h_2)$ minimal. In the similar manner of the definition of f_γ, we consider the following elements of $\mathcal{O}$:

$$g_\gamma := t^\gamma + \sum_{j \in G, j > \gamma} b_{\gamma,j} t^j \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma)$$

We define the *reduction* of h_1 and h_2 by

$$\mathrm{Red}(h_1, h_2) := \frac{g_\alpha}{\mathrm{LC}(h_1)} h_1 - \frac{g_\beta}{\mathrm{LC}(h_2)} h_2. \tag{4}$$

Proposition 4. *Let I be an ideal in $\mathcal{I}(S)$. We rewrite the set (3) as $F = \{f_1 \ldots, f_l\}$ where*

$$f_i := t^{\gamma_i} + \sum_{j \in G(I), j > \gamma} a_{i,j} t^j \quad (i = 1, \ldots, l).$$

The condition set H of F is obtained in a finite number of steps by the following algorithm:
`Input:` $F = \{f_1, \ldots, f_l\}$
`Output:` H
`DEFINE:` $H := \emptyset$
`FOR` each i, j in $\{1 \ldots, l\}$ with $i \neq j$ `DO`
$R :=$Red(f_i, f_j)
`WHILE` $\deg(R) < c(I)$ `DO`
`IF` $\mathrm{ord}(R) \notin \Gamma(I)$ `THEN` $H := H \cup \{\mathrm{LC}(R) = 0\}$
`ELSE` $R :=$ Red$\left(R, \sum_{f_i \in L} b_i g_{\sigma_i} f_i\right)$ for $L = \{f_i \in F | \exists \sigma_i \in \Gamma \ s.t. \ \gamma_i + \sigma_i = \mathrm{ord}(R)\}$

Proof. For distinct elements f_i and f_j in F, we first compute $R_1 :=$ Red(f_i, f_j). Note that $\mathrm{LC}(R_1)$ is a polynomial with respect to the coefficients of f_i and f_j. If $\mathrm{ord}(R_1) \notin S$, then we must have $\mathrm{LC}(R_1) = 0$. We add it to H and put $R_2 := R_1$. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{ord}(R_1) \in S$, then we set $L_1 := \{f_i \in F | \exists \sigma_i \in \Gamma \ s.t. \ \gamma_i + \sigma_i = \mathrm{ord}(R_1)\}$ and reduce R_1 by the power series $\sum_{f_i \in L_1} b_i g_{\sigma_i} f_i$ ($b_i \in k$). Put $R_2 :=$ Red$\left(R_1, \sum_{f_i \in L_1} b_i g_{\sigma_i} f_i\right)$. Next we apply same arguments to R_2. If $\mathrm{ord}(R_2) \notin S$, then the leading coefficient $\mathrm{LC}(R_2)$ must be 0 for any value of b_i. This fact yields some conditions of the coefficients of f_i and f_j. We add them to H and rewrite R_2 by R_3. If $\mathrm{ord}(R_2) \in S$, then we set $L_2 := \{f_i \in F | \exists \sigma_i \in \Gamma \ s.t. \ \gamma_i + \sigma_i = \mathrm{ord}(R_2)\}$ and reduce R_2 by $\sum_{f_i \in L_2} b_i g_{\sigma_i} f_i$. Put $R_3 :=$ Red$\left(R_2, \sum_{f_i \in L_2} b_i g_{\sigma_i} f_i\right)$. In this way, we have $\mathrm{ord}(R_1) < \mathrm{ord}(R_2) < \cdots$. If $\mathrm{ord}(R_i) \geq c(I)$, then further reduction yeilds no element of H, because any integer a with $a \geq c(I)$ belongs to $\Gamma(I) = S$. So these procedures terminate in finite many steps. Finally, we obtain the set H of conditions. □

4 Computational algorithms

In this section, we give a computational method to determine $\mathcal{I}_r$.

Proposition 5. *For a Γ-module S, we obtain the set A of minimal generators for S in a finite number of steps by the following algorithm*:
`Input:` S
`Output:` A
`DEFINE:` $A := \emptyset$, $S := S$
`WHILE` $S \neq \emptyset$ `DO`
$A := A \cup \{\min\{S\}\}$
$S := S \setminus \{\min\{S\} + \gamma \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$

Proof. For $\alpha_1 := \min\{S\}$, consider the set $\alpha_1 + \Gamma$. If $S \neq \alpha_1 + \Gamma$, then we put $\alpha_2 := \min\{S \setminus (\alpha_1 + \Gamma)\}$. We repeat this process as follows: If $S \neq \cup_{i=1}^{j-1}(\alpha_i + \Gamma)$,

then set $\alpha_j := \min\left\{S \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{j-1}(\alpha_i + \Gamma)\right\}$. Then we obtain the descending sequence

$$S \supsetneq S \setminus (\alpha_1 + \Gamma) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq S \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{j}(\alpha_i + \Gamma) \supsetneq \cdots .$$

Since the infinite set $\{a \in \mathbb{N} | \, a \geq \alpha_1 + c\}$ is contained in both S and $\alpha_1 + \Gamma$, we have $\sharp\{S \setminus (\alpha_1 + \Gamma)\} < \infty$. Thus there exists a positive integer n such that $S = \cup_{i=1}^{n}(\alpha_i + \Gamma)$. This fact guarantee the termination of the algorithm. It is clear that the set A obtained by this algorithm is the minimal generating set of S. □

Lemma 6. *If there exists an ideal I in $\mathcal{I}_{r-1}$ with $\Gamma(I) = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle$, then so does an ideal I' in $\mathcal{I}_r$ with $G(I') = G(I) \cup \{\alpha_i\}$ for each i. Conversely, if there exists an ideal J in $\mathcal{I}_r$ with $G(J) = \cup_{i=1}^{m} B_i(J)$, then so does an ideal J' in $\mathcal{I}_{r-1}$ with $G(J') = G(J) \setminus \{d_i\}$ for each i.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal in $\mathcal{I}_{r-1}$ with $\Gamma(I) = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle$. For an element $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(I)$, put $S = \{\Gamma(I) \setminus \{\alpha_i\}\} \cup \{\alpha_i + \gamma | \, \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}\}$. It is easy to check that S is a Γ-module. Consider an ideal I' generated by f_γ with $\min\{S\} \leq \gamma \leq \min\{S\} + c - 1$. We see that $\Gamma(I') = S$ and $G(I') = G(I) \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$. Since $I \in \mathcal{I}_{r-1}$, we have $\sharp G(I) = r - 1$ by Lemma 1. So $\sharp G(I') = r$. We also have $I' \in \mathcal{I}_r$ by Lemma 1. Conversely, let J be an element of $\mathcal{I}_r$ with $G(J) = \cup_{i=1}^{m} B_i(J)$. Note that, for each i, the set $\Gamma(J) \cup \{d_i\}$ is a Γ-module. Indeed, by definition of d_i, we see that $d_i + \gamma \in \Gamma(J)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$. So the set $\Gamma(J) \cup \{d_i\}$ satisfies the definition of Γ-module. In the same manner as above, we take an ideal J' generated by f_γ with $\min\{\Gamma(J) \cup \{d_i\}\} \leq \gamma \leq \min\{\Gamma(J) \cup \{d_i\}\} + c - 1$. It is an element of $\mathcal{I}_{r-1}(\Gamma(J) \cup \{d_i\})$. □

It follows from Lemma 6 that the following proposition.

Proposition 7. *We obtain the set $\mathfrak{S}_r$ from $\mathfrak{S}_{r-1}$ in a finite number of steps by the following algorithm*:
`Input:` Γ and $\mathfrak{S}_{r-1} = \{S_1 = \langle A_1 \rangle \cdots, S_l = \langle A_l \rangle\}$ where $A_i = \{\alpha_{i,1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i,m(i)}\}$
`Output:` $\mathfrak{S}_r$
`DEFINE:` $\mathfrak{S}_r := \emptyset$
`FOR` each i in $\{1 \ldots, l\}$ and each j in $\{1 \ldots, m(i)\}$ `DO`
$S := [S_i \setminus \{\alpha_{i,j}\}] \cup \{\alpha_{i,j} + \gamma | \, \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}\}$
`IF` $S \notin \mathfrak{S}_r$ `THEN` $\mathfrak{S}_r := \mathfrak{S}_r \cup \{S\}$ `ELSE` do nothing

Proof. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 6, the set $[S_i \setminus \{\alpha_{i,j}\}] \cup \{\alpha_{i,j} + \gamma | \, \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}\}$ is a order set for some ideal in $\mathcal{I}_r$. Since we have $\sharp\mathfrak{S}_r < \infty$ by Proposition 2 and a Γ-module is generated by finely many generators by Proposition 5, this algorithm terminates and yields $\mathfrak{S}_r$. □

Using Proposition 4, 5 and 7, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 8 (Computational steps for $\mathcal{I}_r$)**.** *For a given codimenson r, we obtain $\mathcal{I}_r$ in the following r steps.*
Step 1: Set $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{\Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_\mathcal{O})\}$ and find generators of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_\mathcal{O})$ by Proposition 5.

Step i ($i = 2, \ldots, r$): First compute $\mathfrak{S}_i$ by Proposition 7. Next determine $\mathfrak{A}_i$ by applying Proposition 5 to each elements in $\mathfrak{S}_i$.
Step $r+1$: For each $S \in \mathfrak{S}_r$, determine in the coefficients in the generators of $I(S)$ by Proposition 4.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}\}$. So we have $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{\Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}})\}$. By using Proposition 5, we can obtain the minimal generating set A of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}})$. Set $\mathfrak{A}_1 := \{A\}$. With these datum, we compute $\mathfrak{S}_2$ by using Proposition 7. Applying Proposition 5 to each element in $\mathfrak{S}_2$, we get $\mathfrak{A}_2$. Continuing this process successively, we obtain $\mathfrak{S}_r$ from $\mathfrak{S}_{r-1}$ for a codimention r. Finally, for each S in $\mathfrak{S}_r$, consider the generators of $I(S)$ obtained by Lemma 3. Their coefficients are determined by Proposition 4. □

5 Examples

We consider two examples in this section. If $\mathfrak{S}_\tau$ consists of l elements, then we write $S_{\tau,i}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, l$) for these elements. We also use the notation $\mathcal{I}_{\tau,i}$ instead of $\mathcal{I}(S_{\tau,i})$. We first consider the A_{2d} type singularity (i.e. the curve singularity whose local ring $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $k[[t^2, t^{2d+1}]]$). Similar to Lemma 3, we can prove the following lemma for the A_{2d} type singularity:

Lemma 9. *Let I be an ideal of $k[[t^2, t^{2d+1}]]$. If we have $\Gamma(I) = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$ where $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, then I is generated by*

$$f_{\alpha_1} = t^{\alpha_1} + \sum_{j \in G(I), j > \alpha_1} a_j t^j, \quad f_{\alpha_2} = t^{\alpha_2}. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, if I has $\Gamma(I) = \langle \alpha_1 \rangle$, then I is generated by f_{α_1} above.

Remark 10. *Lemma 9 implies that, for the A_{2d} type singularity, the coefficients in (5) are completely determined by $\Gamma(I)$. Namely, $H = \emptyset$. So we can omit the use of Proposition 4 in Step $r+1$ in Theorem 8.*

Now consider the A_6 singularity. Its local ring $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $k[[t^2, t^7]]$. We classify the elements of $\mathcal{I}_\tau$ for $1 \leq \tau \leq 6$. We observe that $\Gamma = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, \ldots\}$ where $c = 6$. Carrying out Theorem 8, the order sets $S_{r,i}$ and the components of $\mathcal{I}_\tau$ are determined as in the following two tables:

τ	order sets
1	$S_{1,1} = \langle 2, 7 \rangle$
2	$S_{2,1} = \langle 4, 7 \rangle$, $S_{2,2} = \langle 2 \rangle$
3	$S_{3,1} = \langle 6, 7 \rangle$, $S_{3,2} = \langle 4, 9 \rangle$
4	$S_{4,1} = \langle 7, 8 \rangle$, $S_{4,2} = \langle 6, 9 \rangle$, $S_{4,3} = \langle 4 \rangle$
5	$S_{5,1} = \langle 8, 9 \rangle$, $S_{5,2} = \langle 7, 10 \rangle$, $S_{5,3} = \langle 6, 11 \rangle$
6	$S_{6,1} = \langle 9, 10 \rangle$, $S_{6,2} = \langle 8, 11 \rangle$, $S_{6,3} = \langle 7, 12 \rangle$, $S_{6,4} = \langle 6 \rangle$

τ	components of $\mathcal{I}_\tau$
1	$\mathcal{I}_{1,1} = (t^2, t^7)$
2	$\mathcal{I}_{2,1} = (t^4, t^7)$, $\mathcal{I}_{2,2} = (t^2 + at^7)$
3	$\mathcal{I}_{3,1} = (t^6, t^7)$, $\mathcal{I}_{3,2} = (t^4 + at^7, t^9)$
4	$\mathcal{I}_{4,1} = (t^7, t^8)$, $\mathcal{I}_{4,2} = (t^6 + at^7, t^9)$, $\mathcal{I}_{4,3} = (t^4 + at^7 + bt^9)$
5	$\mathcal{I}_{5,1} = (t^8, t^9)$, $\mathcal{I}_{5,2} = (t^7 + at^8, t^{10})$, $\mathcal{I}_{5,3} = (t^6 + at^7 + bt^9, t^{11})$
6	$\mathcal{I}_{6,1} = (t^9, t^{10})$, $\mathcal{I}_{6,2} = (t^8 + at^9, t^{11})$,
	$\mathcal{I}_{6,3} = (t^7 + at^8 + bt^{10}, t^{12})$, $\mathcal{I}_{6,4} = (t^6 + at^7 + bt^9 + ct^{11})$

In the above table, a, b and c are elements of k.

Next we consider the E_6 singularity as second example. It is the irreducible curve singularity whose local ring $\mathcal{O}$ is $k[[t^3, t^4]]$. We have $\Gamma = \{3, 4, 6, 7, 8, \ldots\}$ where $c = 6$. We determine the elements of $\mathcal{I}_\tau$ for $1 \leq \tau \leq 6$. Applying Theorem 8, we obtain the following two tables:

τ	order sets
1	$S_{1,1} = \langle 3, 4\rangle$
2	$S_{2,1} = \langle 4, 6\rangle$, $S_{2,2} = \langle 3, 8\rangle$
3	$S_{3,1} = \langle 6, 7, 8\rangle$, $S_{3,2} = \langle 4, 9\rangle$, $S_{3,3} = \langle 3\rangle$
4	$S_{4,1} = \langle 7, 8, 9\rangle$, $S_{4,2} = \langle 6, 8\rangle$, $S_{4,3} = \langle 6, 7\rangle$, $S_{4,4} = \langle 4\rangle$
5	$S_{5,1} = \langle 8, 9, 10\rangle$, $S_{5,2} = \langle 7, 9\rangle$, $S_{5,3} = \langle 7, 8\rangle$, $S_{5,4} = \langle 6, 11\rangle$
6	$S_{6,1} = \langle 9, 10, 11\rangle$, $S_{6,2} = \langle 8, 10\rangle$, $S_{6,3} = \langle 8, 9\rangle$, $S_{6,4} = \langle 7, 12\rangle$, $S_{6,5} = \langle 6\rangle$

τ	components of $\mathcal{I}_\tau$
1	$\mathcal{I}_{1,1} = (t^3, t^4)$
2	$\mathcal{I}_{2,1} = (t^3, t^6)$, $\mathcal{I}_{2,2} = (t^3 + at^4, t^8)$
3	$\mathcal{I}_{3,1} = (t^6, t^7, t^8)$, $\mathcal{I}_{3,2} = (t^4 + at^6, t^9)$, $\mathcal{I}_{3,3} = (t^3 + at^4 + bt^8, t^6 - a^2t^8)$
4	$\mathcal{I}_{4,1} = (t^7, t^8, t^9)$, $\mathcal{I}_{4,2} = (t^6 + at^7, t^8)$,
	$\mathcal{I}_{4,3} = (t^6 + at^8, t^7 + bt^8)$, $\mathcal{I}_{4,4} = (t^4 + at^6 + bt^9)$
5	$\mathcal{I}_{5,1} = (t^8, t^9, t^{10})$, $\mathcal{I}_{5,2} = (t^7 + at^8, t^9)$,
	$\mathcal{I}_{5,3} = (t^7 + at^9, t^8 + bt^9)$, $\mathcal{I}_{5,4} = (t^6 + at^7 + bt^8, t^{11})$
6	$\mathcal{I}_{6,1} = (t^9, t^{10}, t^{11})$, $\mathcal{I}_{6,2} = (t^8 + at^9, t^{10})$, $\mathcal{I}_{6,3} = (t^8 + at^{10}, t^9 + bt^{10})$
	$\mathcal{I}_{6,4} = (t^7 + at^8 + bt^9, t^{12})$, $\mathcal{I}_{6,5} = (t^6 + at^7 + bt^8 + ct^{11}, t^9 + (b - a^2)t^{11})$

In the above table, $a, b, c, \in k$.

Here we explain how to determine $\mathcal{I}_{6,5}$ by Step 7 in Theorem 8. By Proposition 5, we see that $S_{6,5}$ generated by 6. Let I be an ideal in $\mathcal{I}_{6,5}$. We have $\Gamma(I) = S_{6,5} = \{6, 9, 10, 12, 13, \ldots\}$ where $c(I) = 12$ and $G(I) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11\}$. By Lemma 3, we can take $F = \{f_\gamma \in I \,|\, \gamma \in \{6, 9, 10\} \cup \{\gamma \,|\, 12 \leq \gamma \leq 17\}\}$ as the set (3) of generators of I. We simply write $f_6 = t^6 + at^7 + bt^8 + ct^{11}$, $f_9 = t^9 + dt^{11}$, $f_{10} = t^{10} + et^{11}$ and $f_\gamma = t^\gamma$ for $12 \leq \gamma \leq 17$. We first apply Proposition 4 to f_6 and f_9. Then we have

$$R_1 := \mathrm{Red}(f_6, f_9) = t^3 f_6 - f_9 = at^{10} + (b - d)t^{11}.$$

Note that $10 \in \Gamma(I)$. Since $10 = 4 + 6$ ($4 \in \Gamma, 6 \in \Gamma(I)$) is the unique expression in $S_{6,5}$, we set $L_1 = \{f_6\}$. Taking t^4 as g_4, we reduce R_1 by $pt^4 f_6$ ($p \in k$).

$$R_2 := \mathrm{Red}(R_1, pt^4 f_6) = \left(\frac{b - d - a^2}{a}\right) t^{11} - bt^{12} - ct^{15}$$

Since $11 \notin \Gamma(I)$, we must have $b - d - a^2 = 0$. Hence, we add $d = b - a^2$ to H. Since $\mathrm{ord}(R_2)$ is 12, further reduction step yields no relations. Next we consider the reduction of f_6 and f_{10}. In the same argument as above, we can see that $e = a$. Furthermore, it is easy to check that f_{10} is expressed by f_6. We also can show that all f_γ with $12 \leq \gamma \leq 17$ are expressed by f_6. Finally, we conclude that $\mathcal{I}_{6,5} = (t^6 + at^7 + bt^8 + ct^{11}, t^9 + (b - a^2)t^{11})$.

References

[1] Cox, D., Little, J., O'Shea, D., 2007. IDEALS, VARIETIES, AND ALGORITHMS. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. Third edition. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York.

[2] G. Pfister, J.H.M. Steenbrink, Reduced Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities, J. Pure and Applied Algebra. **77**, 103-116, (1992).

[3] Y. Sōma, Hilbert scheme of r-points for the simple plane curve singularities (in Japanese), Master Thesis, Saitama university, (2010).

[4] Y. Sōma, M. Watari, Punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities of E_6 and E_8 types, arXiv:math.AG/1109.4991.

Masahiro Watari
Division of General Subject
Tsuyama National College of Technology
624-1 Numa
Tsuyamashi Okayama 708-8509 Japan.
E-mail: watari@tusyma-ct.ac.jp